Course evaluation KZ8005_HT19 NMR in Materials Chemistry (7,5 hp)

Respondents: 13 Answer Count: 5 Answer Frequency: 38.46 %

1. Overall impression

Overall I am satisfied with this course	Number of Responses						
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)						
2	0 (0.0%)						
3	1 (20.0%)	1 Not at all					
4	2 (40.0%)	2 -					
5 Completely	2 (40.0%)	3 -	_				
Don't know	0 (0.0%)	4 -					
Total	5 (100.0%)	5 Completely -		1	-		
		Don't know-					
		0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2

2. Clear aims

I felt that the course content was relevant to the learning outcomes

5 Completely 3 (60.0%) Don't know/ Not relevant 0 (0.0%)	I felt that the course content was relevant to the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
3 0 (0.0%) 1 4 2 (40.0%) 5 5 Completely 3 (60.0%) 0 Don't know/ 0 (0.0%) 5 of the second se	1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
4 2 (40.0%) 5 Completely 3 (60.0%) Don't know/ Not relevant 0 (0.0%) s completely s completel	2	
5 Completely 3 (60.0%) Don't know/ Not relevant 0 (0.0%) 5 compl	3	
Don't know/ Not relevant 0 (0.0%) 5 completely	-	
Not relevant 0 (0.0%) 5 Completely -		3 (60.0%)
		0 (0 00()
l otal 5 (100.0%) Don't know/		
	lotal	5 (100.0%)
0		

The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes

The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes	Number of Responses						
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)						
2	1 (20.0%)	1 Not at all-					
3	1 (20.0%)						
4	3 (60.0%)	2					
5 Completely	0 (0.0%)	3-					
Don't know/		4-					_
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)	5 Completely					
Total	5 (100.0%)	Don't know/					
	. ,						
		0 0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3

I felt that the teaching helped me reach the learning outcomes

I felt that the teaching helped me reach the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	3 (60.0%)
5 Completely	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

3. I attended lectures corresponding to:

attended lectures orresponding to:	Number of Responses
0-25 %	0 (0.0%)
25-50 %	0 (0.0%)
50-75 %	1 (20.0%)
75-100 %	4 (80.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

4. Teaching

How do you judge the performance of the lecturer (Mattias)?

How do you judge the performance of the lecturer (Mattias)?	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

Overall assessment of the teaching assistant

Overall assessment of the eaching assistant	Number of Responses							
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)							
2	0 (0.0%)	4.14						
3	3 (60.0%)	1 Very poor-						
4	2 (40.0%)	2-						
5 Excellent	0 (0.0%)	3-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Don't know/		4-						
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)	5 Excellent						
Total	5 (100.0%)	Don't know						
		Ó	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3

How were the instructions before and during the labs?


How were the instructions before and during the labs?	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (20.0%)
3	3 (60.0%)
4	1 (20.0%)
5 Excellent	0 (0.0%)
Don't know/	- /
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

How were the instructions during calculation exercises?

w were the instructions during culation exercises?	Number of Responses					
ery poor	0 (0.0%)					
	0 (0.0%)					
	2 (40.0%)	1 Very poor				
	2 (40.0%)	2-				
Excellent	1 (20.0%)	3-	_	_	_	_
on't know/		4-	_			-
lot relevant	0 (0.0%)	5 Excellent-				
Fotal	5 (100.0%)	Don't know				
		0	0.5	1	1.5	

5. Number of labs and calculations exercises

Are the number of exercises (2 + 1 review) adequate?



Are the number of labs adequate?

Are the number of labs adequate?	Number of Responses		
1 Too few	0 (0.0%)		
2	0 (0.0%)		
3	3 (60.0%)	1 Too few-	
4	1 (20.0%)	2-	
5 Too many	1 (20.0%)	3-	
Don't know/		4-	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)	5 Too many -	
Total	5 (100.0%)	Don't know	
		0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3	

6. Labs and calculations exercises

Overall assessment of Lab 1 (NMR basics)


verall assessment of Lab 1 (NMR asics)	Number of Responses
Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	2 (40.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

Overall assessment of Lab 2 (Quad. nuclei)

Overall assessment of Lab 2 (Quad. nuclei)	Number of Responses	
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)	
2	0 (0.0%)	
3	0 (0.0%)	1 Very poor-
4	4 (80.0%)	2-
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)	3-
Don't know/		4-
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)	5 Excellent
Total	5 (100.0%)	Don't know
		0 1 2 3 4

Overall assessment of Lab 3 (CP)

Overall assessment of Lab 3 (CP)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (40.0%)
3	2 (40.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




3.5

7. Written material


Lecture handouts (slides)

Lecture handouts (slides)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




Lecture handouts (others)

Lecture handouts (others)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




Lab compendium

Lab compendium	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (40.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




Exercise compendium

Exercise compendium	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	2 (40.0%)
Don't know/	
Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




8. Indicate how many hours per week on average you have spent on the course, including self-studies and scheduled study time

Indicate how many hours per week on average you have spent on the course, including self-studies and scheduled study time	Number of Responses
28 - 29	0 (0.0%)
30 - 31	2 (40.0%)
32 - 33	0 (0.0%)
34 - 35	2 (40.0%)
36 - 37	0 (0.0%)
38 - 39	0 (0.0%)
40 - 41	0 (0.0%)
42 - 43	0 (0.0%)
44 - 45	1 (20.0%)
46 - 47	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



9. Work load

Work load	Number of Responses
Too thin	0 (0.0%)
OK	4 (80.0%)
Too dense	1 (20.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



11. How did the course meet your expectations?

low did the course meet your xpectations?	Number of Responses	
Worse	0 (0.0%)	
As expected	4 (80.0%)	
Better	1 (20.0%)	Worse -
Total	5 (100.0%)	in or be
		As expected -
		Better -
		C