


# Course evaluation KZ8005\_HT19 NMR in Materials Chemistry (7,5 hp)

Respondents: 13  
Answer Count: 5  
Answer Frequency: 38.46 %

## 1. Overall impression


Overall I am satisfied with this course	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Completely	2 (40.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 2. Clear aims


### I felt that the course content was relevant to the learning outcomes

I felt that the course content was relevant to the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Completely	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




## The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes

The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (20.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	3 (60.0%)
5 Completely	0 (0.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




## I felt that the teaching helped me reach the learning outcomes

I felt that the teaching helped me reach the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	3 (60.0%)
5 Completely	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 3. I attended lectures corresponding to:


I attended lectures corresponding to:	Number of Responses
0-25 %	0 (0.0%)
25-50 %	0 (0.0%)
50-75 %	1 (20.0%)
75-100 %	4 (80.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 4. Teaching


### How do you judge the performance of the lecturer (Mattias)?

How do you judge the performance of the lecturer (Mattias)?	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




## Overall assessment of the teaching assistant

Overall assessment of the teaching assistant	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (60.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	0 (0.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




## How were the instructions before and during the labs?

How were the instructions before and during the labs?	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (20.0%)
3	3 (60.0%)
4	1 (20.0%)
5 Excellent	0 (0.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## How were the instructions during calculation exercises?


How were the instructions during calculation exercises?	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (40.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 5. Number of labs and calculations exercises


### Are the number of exercises (2 + 1 review) adequate?

Are the number of exercises (2 + 1 review) adequate?	Number of Responses
1 Too few	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (60.0%)
4	1 (20.0%)
5 Too many	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## Are the number of labs adequate?


Are the number of labs adequate?	Number of Responses
1 Too few	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (60.0%)
4	1 (20.0%)
5 Too many	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 6. Labs and calculations exercises


### Overall assessment of Lab 1 (NMR basics)

Overall assessment of Lab 1 (NMR basics)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	2 (40.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




### Overall assessment of Lab 2 (Quad. nuclei)

Overall assessment of Lab 2 (Quad. nuclei)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	4 (80.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



### Overall assessment of Lab 3 (CP)


Overall assessment of Lab 3 (CP)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (40.0%)
3	2 (40.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



## 7. Written material


### Lecture handouts (slides)

Lecture handouts (slides)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




### Lecture handouts (others)

Lecture handouts (others)	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	3 (60.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




### Lab compendium

Lab compendium	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (40.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	1 (20.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




### Exercise compendium

Exercise compendium	Number of Responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (20.0%)
4	2 (40.0%)
5 Excellent	2 (40.0%)
Don't know/ Not relevant	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)




**8. Indicate how many hours per week on average you have spent on the course, including self-studies and scheduled study time**

Indicate how many hours per week on average you have spent on the course, including self-studies and scheduled study time	Number of Responses
28 - 29	0 (0.0%)
30 - 31	2 (40.0%)
32 - 33	0 (0.0%)
34 - 35	2 (40.0%)
36 - 37	0 (0.0%)
38 - 39	0 (0.0%)
40 - 41	0 (0.0%)
42 - 43	0 (0.0%)
44 - 45	1 (20.0%)
46 - 47	0 (0.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



**9. Work load**

Work load	Number of Responses
Too thin	0 (0.0%)
OK	4 (80.0%)
Too dense	1 (20.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)



**11. How did the course meet your expectations?**

How did the course meet your expectations?	Number of Responses
Worse	0 (0.0%)
As expected	4 (80.0%)
Better	1 (20.0%)
Total	5 (100.0%)

